

MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT APPELLANT COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

1. D [REDACTED] W [REDACTED] RESIDES AS INCARCERATED IN STATE OF OHIO, CITY OF MANSFIELD, RICHLAND COUNTY, APPELLANT
2. THE DEFENDANT HAS A LLC CORPORATION IN THE STATE OF DELAWARE, CITY OF WILMINGTON
3. THAT THE CAUSE OF ACTION OF NEGLIGENCE AND FRAUD CONCEALMENT AND SEXUAL ASSAULT AND BREACH OF CONTRACT AROSE FROM A FILED PROOF OF CLAIM THAT'S FILED AT UNITED BANKRUPTCY COURT AT LOCATED 824 NORTH MARKET STREET, WILMINGTON, DE 19801-3870 (DESIGNATION OF RECORD REQUEST ORDER TO BE FILED BETWEEN CLERK OF COURT'S PURSUANT TO 8-25-22 ON RECORD NO DESIGNATION) FOR RECORD
4. THAT THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS \$ 50,000.00 AND APPELLANT IS ENTITLED TO RELIEF BASED ON AMENDMENT VII 1791

AND AMENDMENT IV 1791 AND
AMENDMENT IX AND AMENDMENT V 1791
AND AMENDMENT VII 1791 PURSUANT TO
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

5. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

6. FEDERAL QUESTION'S (ATTACHED) JURISDICTION
GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

6. THAT ON AUGUST 18, 2020 APPELLANT ~~WAS~~
D [REDACTED] W [REDACTED] WAS FRAUDULENTLY
INDUCEMENT CAUSED BY BOY SCOUTS OF
AMERICA FRAUD MISREPRESENTATIONS IN A
TARGET SCHEME, DESIGN, AND DECEPTION
T.V. ADVERTISEMENTS TO FILE A
PROOF OF CLAIM EXHIBIT A IN
UNITED ^{STATES} BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE EXHIBIT A
THE ~~APPELLANT~~ WAS IN VIOLATION OF REASONABLE CARE OF

APPELLANT 7. THE DEFENDANTS BOY SCOUTS OF
AMERICA AND DELAWARE BSA, LLC
FRAUDULENT ACTIONS OCCURRED APPELLANT
TO ENTER A IRREPARABLE DAMAGE'S
CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO
EXHIBIT A (BREACH OF CONTRACT OR
AGREEMENT)

FOOTNOTES 56 F CONTINUANCE FOR ADMISSABLE
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT

(3)

8. THAT THE DEFENDANT APPELLEE OWED THE APPELLANT D.W. THE FOLLOWING DUTIES OF CARE

9. A DUTY PURSUANT TO EXHIBIT A PAGE 3 PART I A DUTY OF CONFIDENTIALITY, CONTRACTUAL DUTY, CLAIM DUTY OBLIGATION,

10. PURSUANT TO THAT DUTY NOT TO DISCLOSE APPELLANT CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND DUTY IN FAIR DEALINGS

11. THE DEFENDANT'S WAS RECKLESS MANNER, CARELESS, RECKLESS MANNER, MALICE, BAD FAITH, WILLFUL, INTENTIONAL, WANTON CONDUCT THAT DISREGARDED APPELLANT'S SAFETY THAT VIOLATED PRIOR NOTIFICATION COMMON LAW AND FEDERAL LAW (PURSUANT TO DIRECT NOTICE AND CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE)

12. THAT THE DEFENDANT'S HEREIN BREACHED EACH OF THE ABOVE DUTIES AND VIOLATED UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS AND FEDERAL LAWS

13. THAT THE DEFENDANT'S IS GUILTY OF DUE PROCESS, CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURE, VIOLATING A FAIR TRIAL, AND BREACH OF CONFIDENTIAL CLAUSE OF PROOF OF CLAIM

14. THAT DEFENDANT'S IS GUILTY OF FRAUD CONCEALMENTS, ENTRAPMENT, THEFT BY DECEPTION, VIOLATING FEDERAL RICO ACT AND SEXUAL ASSAULT'S VIOLATIONS AND INFLECTING SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND HARASSMENT

15. THAT PRIOR TO FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT AND NEGLIGENCE THE APPELLANT WAS A REASONABLE CARE PRUDENT PRIVATE PERSON

16. THAT AS A RESULT OF FRAUD ENTRAPMENT AND FRAUD MISREPRESENTATIONS AND FRAUD COLLUSION'S AND FRAUD CONVERSIONS OF A FRAUDULENT PLAN CONFIRMATION, THAT APPELLANT WAS UNREPRESENTED OR ^{NOT} PRIVY IN NEGOTIATIONS TO PLAN

THAT THE APPELLANT SUFFERED SEVERE IRREPARABLE DAMAGES THAT RESULTED IN APPELLANT FILING A LAWSUIT IN FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO COMMON PLEAS COURT CASE NO. 22 CV000610 AS A RESULT OF EXPOSING AND BREACH CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, OF APPELLANT PROOF OF CLAIM

FURTHER THE APPELLANT AS A RESULT HAD TO FILE A LAWSUIT (INDIRECT) AS A RESULT OF ENTERING A FRAUDULENT PLAN AND CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT EXHIBIT A THAT

BREACHED VIOLATED APPELLANT CONFIDENTIALITY CLAUSE IN OHIO COURT OF CLAIMS 2022-00620

LED TO ASSAULT CAUSAL CONNECTION LINK ⑤

17. THAT FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATIONS AND FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENTS CAUSED BY DEFENDANT'S HEREIN

18. COULD HAVE PREVENTED APPELLANT'S INJURIES IF APPELLANT HAD FAIR REPRESENTED REPRESENTATION WITHIN THE CLASS FOR A CLASS THAT WAS TARGETED, REDFLAG, OPPRESSED IN ADMINISTRATIVE FEES AND DEHUMANIZED AND ULTIMATELY DISCRIMINATED BASED ON A MINORITY PRISONER CLASS THAT WAS NOT PRIVY OR REPRESENTED IN A CLASS PLAN NEGOTIATIONS OR REPRESENTED IN TORT CLAIMANT COMMITTEE

19. THE SEXUAL ASSAULTS, HARASSMENT, RETALIATIONS AND EMOTIONAL STRESS AND MENTAL ANGUISH INCURRED AS A RESULT OF BEING FORCED BY A DEADLINE TO FILE A PROOF OF CLAIM RESULTED IN PAIN AND SUFFERING INFLICTED UPON THE DIRECT PROXIMATE ACTUAL AGGRIEVED APPELLANT D.W. AS SET FORTH IN STATE OF OHIO COURT CLAIMS, IN

FRANKLIN CO., OHIO COMMON PLEAS
COURT CASE NO. 22 CV 000610
AND OHIO COURT OF CLAIMS
2022-00620,

THAT INFRINGED AND
IMPEDED ON MY MENTAL CAPACITY
TO FUNCTION AS A REASONABLE
PRUDENT PERSON MIND AND
WELLBEING THAT EFFECTED THE
DELAY IN TIME OF A OHIO
COMMON PLEAS, FRANKLIN COUNTY
COURT CASE 22-CV-2144 AS A RESULT
OF MENTAL ANXIETY

20. THAT THE INJURIES
SUSTAINED (REQUEST CIVIL RULE 56(F)
CONTINUANCE TO OBTAIN CASE
FILES TO ADD TO RECORD AS
ADMISSABLE EVIDENCE)
BY THE APPELLANT AS A RESULT
OF FRAUDULENT CONDUCT
ACTIONS OF CONDUCT AND
FRAUDULENT ACTIONS THAT
WERE SOLELY CAUSED BY THE
DEFENDANT'S DIRECT PROXIMATE
AND SPECIFICALLY AND PARTICULARLY
HEREIN

BY THE FRAUDULENT
 COLLUSION AND FRAUD CONCEALMENTS
 AND FRAUD MISREPRESENTATIONS
 WITHIN THE PROOF OF CLAIM
 CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT, BY THE
 FRAUDULENT COLLUSION, FRAUDULENT
 CONCEALMENTS AND FRAUDULENT
 COLLUSION CONFIRMATION OF PLAN
 AND FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENT-
 ATIONS THAT'S SET FORTH IN THE
 U.S. BANKRUPTCY OPINION
 THAT DEPRIVE APPELLANT
 VIABLE JUSTICIABLE RELIEF
 INWARD THAT FAIRLY
 COMPENSATE CLAIMANT #114-457
 THAT VIOLATES THE BANKRUPTCY
 CODE FOR FAIRNESS OF A CLASS
 MEMBER CITED WITHIN THE
 BANKRUPTCY COURT OPINION
 THAT SEVERELY AND SUBSTANTIALLY
 REDUCE THE VALUE OF SAID
 INJURIES TO CLAIMS AGAINST
 DEFENDANT BOY SCOUTS OF
 AMERICA AND AFFILIATES

20% THE DEFENDANT'S ARE SOLELY
 VICARIOUS LIABLE AND TORTILABLE (HEREIN)

(SEE EXHIBIT E)

21. WHERE FOR THE APPELLANT
PRAYS FOR THE FOLLOWING
RELIEF

(A) THAT THIS HONORABLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
GRANT A JURY TRIAL IN U.S. COURT

(HEREIN)

(B) THAT THE ~~THE~~ APPELLANT
D.W., CLAIMANT 114457 BE
AWARDED COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

(C) THAT APPELLANT BE AWARDED
AN AMOUNT DEEMED FAIR AND
JUST BY A JURY TO COMPENSATE
APPELLANT D.W. FOR DAMAGES
SUSTAINED AS PRESENTED
BY EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE

(D) THAT APPELLANT D.W.
BE AWARDED SUCH OTHER RELIEF
AS THE COURT DEEM'S PROPER

APPELLANT D.W. CLAIMANT
#114457 CLAIMS JUDGMENT
AGAINST THE DEFENDANT'S
HEREIN IN AN AMOUNT IN
EXCESS OF THE MATRIX PLAN
IN EXCESS OF ONE MILLION
DOLLARS 100% VALUE IN
ACTUAL COMPENSATORY AND
EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

①

22. INDEMNIFICATION (DAMAGES) DOCTRINE OF LAW REQUIRES JUSTICE FOR THIS CLASS MEMBER STATUS IN THE GENUINE MATERIAL SET OF FACTS THAT IS PRESENTED IN DIRECT PROXIMATE AUTHENTIC EVIDENCE FOR A JURY TRIAL CAN TERMINATE THE UNCERTAINTY OR CONTROVERSY ~~OF~~ OF ISSUES AND FACTS THAT IS ACTUALLY DEPRIVING APPELLANT D.W. AND SIMILAR CLASS MEMBERS A FAIR TRIAL AND FAIR JUSTICE FOR RIGHTS, DUE PROCESS OF LAW AS A MATTER OF LAW, AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS FOR RELIEF THAT DO NOT VIOLATE, AND DECREASE PROBATIVE VALUE AMOUNT OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AMOUNT PURSUANT TO UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AND FAIRNESS IN EQUITY

PLAINTIFF APPELLANT DEMAND A JURY TRIAL
ON ALL TRIAL ABLE ISSUES, FACTS AND ERRORS
AND CLAIMS

OPINION

23. ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR #1
FAILURE IN A EQUAL STANDING
THAT OF ADMINISTRATIVE FEES
THAT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE OR
IS SUBSTANTIALLY OPPRESSES THE
CLASS MEMBERS
24. ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR #2
FAIR STANDING'S THAT
DOES NOT DEPRIVE EQUAL
PROTECTION OF THE LAW AS A
CLASS MEMBER
25. ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR #3
DOES NOT ALLOW CURRENT CLAIMS
IF YOUR IN THE CLASS,
THAT CONFIRMATION PLAN
PREJUDICES THAT OF THOSE
MEMBERS WITH CURRENT CLAIMS
AND DEPRIVE FAIR EQUITY
26. ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR #4
~~JUSTIFIABLE~~ ~~REMEDY~~ RELIEF
IS ALLOWING THE DEFENDANT'S
TO BREACH CONTRACT OR
AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO A
FRAUDULENT CONFIRMATION PLAN

27. ASSIGNMENT ERROR #5
OPINION VIOLATES
APPELLANT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?
ISSUES PRESENTED
28. ISSUE #1 PRESENTED PURSUANT
TO ERROR #1 DOES THE CONFIRMATION
PLAN ~~APPELLANT REQUESTS~~
ADMINISTRATIVE FEE OPPRESSES
A A PRISONER CLASS MEMBER
UNJUSTLY?
29. ISSUE #2 PURSUANT TO ERROR
#2 THE DOES THE PLAN
VIOLATES EQUAL PROTECTION
OF A RED FLAG CLASS MEMBER,
A PRISONER CLASS MEMBER AND
A TARGETED CLASS MEMBER
FOR RELIEF?
30. ISSUE #3
DOES THE PLAN
HAVE A ~~JUST~~ JUSTICIABLE RIGHT
TO NOT COMPENSATE
CURRENT CLAIMS? PURSUANT
TO FRAUD MISREPRESENTATIONS AND
AMENDMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTS
THAT WAS NOT VOTED ON BY THE
CLASS MEMBERS

31. ISSUE # 4 PURSUANT TO ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR #4
IS JUSTIFIABLE RELIEF SUCH
AS CLAIMANT #114 457 BEING DEHUMANIZED
IN DISCOVERY ALLOW THE DEFENDANT'S
TO BREACH CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT'S
OR BREACH ORAL OR WRITTEN CONTRACT'S
OR AGREEMENT'S OR BREACH ADVERTISEMENT
THAT SOLICITED CLAIMANT'S INTO THE
EXHIBIT A FILED PROOF OF CLAIMS CLASS?
32. (RESERVE THE RIGHT TO ADD
ISSUES AND ERRORS AS CONFORMING
TO TRIAL) IMPRISONMENT
33. INTRODUCTION: APPELLANT D [REDACTED]
W [REDACTED] IS A CLASS MEMBER CLAIMANT
#114 457 IN THE BOY SCOUTS OF
AMERICA CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT
IN WILMINGTON DELAWARE CASE NO.
20-10343 IS APPEALING THE
OPINION AND CONFIRMATION OF
THE COURT FOR REASONS HEREIN
AND RELIEF AND FAIRNESS
INEQUITY CLAIMS, MIX CLAIMS
AND INDIRECT CLAIMS

STATEMENT OF FACTS

34. THE OPINION OF THE COURT DISENFRANCHISE, DEVALUE, REDUCES A CLASS MEMBER JUSTICIABLE AWARD RELIEF IN FAIRNESS
35. THE CONFIRMATION PLAN VIOLATES THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND BANKRUPTCY FEDERAL CODES
36. THE CONFIRMATION PLAN REDUCES OR PROHIBITS CURRENT JUSTICIABLE CLAIMS FOR RELIEF THAT VIOLATES U.S. CONSTITUTION AND BANKRUPTCY FEDERAL CODES FOR RELIEF
37. THE DEFENDANT'S AMENDMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTS WITHOUT A CLASS VOTE VIOLATES THE U.S. CONSTITUTION FOR CLASS MEMBER'S RELIEF
38. THE CONFIRMATION PLAN IS DISCRIMINATORY IN NATURE THAT VIOLATES AND TARGET CERTAIN UNREPRESENTED CLASS MEMBERS THAT ARE NOT PRIVY OR REPRESENTED IN NEGOTIATIONS OF TERMS OF PLAN

39. THE APPELLANT OR CLASS MEMBERS UNREPRESENTED DID NOT APPROVE OF AMENDED CONSENT ORDERS AS A CLASS MEMBER OR WAS PRIVY TO THE CONSENT ORDERS MANIFESTED IN THE CONSENT ORDERS TO AMEND AND SUPPLEMENT OPINION OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT WITHOUT A VOTE VIOLATING U.S. CONSTITUTION AND BANKRUPTCY CODES OF FEDERAL LAW AND FAIRNESS NOR PRIVY TO NEGOTIATIONS

40. 82,209 CLAIMANTS ARE ASSERTING PROOF OF CLAIMS THAT ARE BEING MISLEAD BY DEFENDANT'S FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENTS IN THE PLAN THAT VIOLATES FEDERAL RICO LAWS AND U.S. CONSTITUTION AND ENTRAPMENT LAW

41. INSURANCE COVERAGE POLICIES ARE IMPLEMENTED UNJUSTLY TO UNREPRESENTED OR TARGETED OR RED FLAG CLASS MEMBERS WITHOUT PRIOR NOTIFICATIONS OF A RED FLAG OR TARGETED CLASS MEMBER OR OBJECTORS RELIEF SYSTEM PRIOR TO SUBMISSION OF PROOF OF CLAIM PURSUANT TO NOTICE STANDARDS

(15)

42. THE OPINION OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT EXHIBIT B OF THE RECORD (56F REQUEST OF ADMISSIBLE OPINION IN ENTIRETY FOR DISTRICT COURT REVIEW) IS NOT FAIR AND EQUITABLE

43. THE CLAIMS MATRIX, BASE MATRIX VALUES, MAXIMUM MATRIX VALUES, AND SCALING FACTORS ARE INAPPROPRIATE AND PROVIDE CLAIMANT #114-457 CLASS MEMBER UNFAIR AND EQUITABLE VALUE REDUCED UNDER A ENTRAPMENT AND IRREPARABLE DAMAGES STANDARDS FOR RELIEF VIOLATING FAIR AND EQUITY

44. THE TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURE ON TDP IS DESIGNED IN REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE NOT FAIR AND EQUITABLE TO A UNREPRESENTED CLASS MEMBER OR TARGET OR RED FLAG CLASS MEMBER OR PRISONER

45. THE FINDING W: THE BINDING OF FINDINGS WITHIN THE PLAN THAT REDUCE CLAIMS OR PROHIBIT CLAIMS IS A DEBTOR'S OR DEFENDANT'S FRAUDULENT ACTION AND FRAUD MISREPRESENTATION THAT IS UNFAIR TO CERTAIN CLASS MEMBERS

(16)

LAW AND ARGUMENT

46) THE OPINION OF THE COURT IS VIOLATING CLASS MEMBER #114457 SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS AND RECOVERYS IN CLAIMS AND CAUSAL LINK CLAIMS OF CURRENT LIABILITY'S CAUSED BY DEFENDANT'S THAT VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE CONSTITUTIONAL

47) THE OPINION OF THE COURT VIOLATES CLASS AND CLASS MEMBER #114-457 EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

48) THE OPINION OF THE COURT VIOLATES ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURES CLAUSE OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

49) THE OPINION OF THE COURT AND CONFIRMATION PLAN VIOLATES CLAIMANT #114457 SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS AND CLASS

50) THE OPINION OF THE COURT IS VIOLATING CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT CLAUSE OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION THAT PUNISHES A PRISONER CLASS MEMBER AND CLAIMANT #114457 UNJUSTLY

~~56~~ THE DEFENDANTS BREACHED THE PROOF OF CLAIM CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT THAT'S RESULTS TO IRREPARABLE DAMAGES

56) THE PROOF OF CLAIM SUBMITTED BY THE DEFENDANTS WAS AN ENTRAPMENT TO REDUCE CLAIMS AND PROHIBIT CLAIMS WITHOUT REPRESENTATION OR PRIOR TO NEGOTIATIONS WITHOUT PRIOR NOTIFICATION VIOLATING FEDERAL ENTRAPMENT LAWS

53) THE DEFENDANTS IS GUILTY OF FRAUD CONCEALMENT'S THAT DEPRIVE CLASS MEMBERS WITHIN AMENDMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTS THAT REDUCE CLAIMS OR PROHIBIT CLAIMS WITHOUT A CLASS VOTE

54) THE DEFENDANTS IS GUILTY OF INFLECTING FRAUDULENT COLLUSION AND FEDERAL RICO ACT VIOLATIONS THAT VIOLATES CLASS AND CLAIMANT #114457 FAIRNESS IN EQUITABLE RIGHTS SUBSTANTIALLY FOR FAIR RELIEF

55) THAT VIOLATES FED BANKR. CODE 1129 A 3 GOOD FAITH FINDINGS

NO FAIR AND EQUITABLE RESOLUTION OR PERSONAL CLAIMS AND CAUSAL LINK CONNECTED CURRENT CLAIMS AS

DESCRIBED IN CLASS MEMBER #114457 FOR RELIEF PURSUANT TO OHIO FILED CLAIMS AND CLASS CLAIMS FOR RESOLUTION

56.) THE CONFIRMATION PLAN IS FRAUD MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUD COLLUSION'S, FRAUD INDUCEMENT, FRAUD CONVERSION, FRAUD CONCOINMENTS THAT IS A ENTRAPMENT THAT DEFENDANT'S IS GUILTY OF VIOLATING FEDERAL RICO LAWS

57.) THAT DEFENDANT'S VIOLATIONS RESULTED IN A OPINION AND CONFIRMATION PLAN THAT VIOLATED APPELLANT D.W. U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO FAIR AND EQUITY RELIEF AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT'S

58.) CONCLUSION

D.W. WHEREFORE FOR THE REASONS HEREIN THE CLASS CLAIMANT #114457 SPECIFICALLY AND PARTICULARLY SHOULD BE AWARDED FAIR AND EQUITABLE RELIEF IN 100% MAXIMUM RELIEF VALUE FOR ALL DIRECT PROXIMATE CLAIM'S, MIX CLAIM'S, INDIRECT CLAIM'S AND CAUSAL LINK CONNECTED CLAIM'S AS A RESULT OF DEFENDANT'S VIOLATIONS AND NEGLIGENCE

AND FRAUD CONCEALMENT'S AND
THEFT BY DECEPTION AND INFRINGEMENTS
AND IMPEDEMENTS, AND BREACHES
AND VIOLATIONS OF U.S. CONSTITUTION
AND AMENDMENT'S U.S.

59.) THAT WARRANTS A
FEDERAL DISTRICT TRIAL ON
ALL ISSUES AND FACTS
AND ERRORS PRESENTED IN
SUBJECT-MATTER CASE HEREIN FOR
TRIAL BY JURY THAT VIOLATES
CLAIMANT # 114457 RIGHTS OF
U.S. CONSTITUTION

60.) (DEMONSTRATION TO THE COURT):
THE PLAINTIFF IS SEVERELY BIAS AND
PREJUDICE ON HIS CLAIM # 114957 WITHIN
THE CLASS AS A RESULT THERE WAS NO
REPRESENTATION FOR PRISONERS RIGHTS
OR FOR RED FLAG CLAIMS OR FOR THE
TARGETED CLAIMS,

THE PLAINTIFF IS SEVERELY BIAS AND
PREJUDICE BY DEFENDANT'S WHO CAUSED INJURY AND
DAMAGES ON CONNECTED CAUSAL LINK
CONNECTED IN DAMAGES (INDEMNIFICATION
DOCTRINE) FOR RELIEF THAT IS FAIR
END DEMONSTRATION

61.) WHEREFORE APPELLANT OBJECTS TO THE OPINION ON AND CONFIRMATION ORDER, CONSENT ORDER AND THE UNFAIR TACTICS, SCHEMES, DESIGN, AND DECEPTION AND FRAUD CONCEALMENTS WITHIN THE PLAN THAT REDUCES A FAIR IN EQUITY VALUE FOR APPELLANT CLAIM #114457 AND MIX CLAIM AND INDIRECT CLAIM, AND CLASS MEMBERS CLAIMS,

62.) THEREFORE THIS HONORABLE COURT SHOULD GRANT APPELLANT A TRIAL BY JURY ON SUBJECT-MATTERS FOR COMPENSATORY RELIEF IN THIS HONORABLE COURT HEREIN,

63.) FOR DECISIONS, ORDERS, JUDGMENTS, AND A FAIR VERDICT BY THE TRIAL BY JURY DETERMINATION OF ISSUES, FACTS, AND ERRORS THAT ARE TRIABLE UPON THE COURT

64.) ^{PLAINTIFFS} (CAUSAL LINK CONNECTED CLAIM) DEMONSTRATION

INSURANCE
THE APPELLANT D.W. IS SEVERELY
BIAS, PREJUDICE BY THE IRREPARABLE
DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF DEFENDANT'S
VIOLATION'S UPON APPELLANT RIGHTS
AND CLAIM'S,

AS A RESULT OF THIS CASE
BEING IMPLICATED UPON APPELLANT IT
RESULTED IN EMOTIONAL STRESSES AND
A SEXUAL ASSAULT BEING IMPLICATED UPON
APPELLANT, THAT RESULTED IN UNTIMELY
FILING'S ~~CONCERNING~~ OF
APPELLANT CASINO CASE, AND A
FAIR STANDARD MIND FOR DEFENSES
OF LEGAL ISSUES IMPEDDED BY THIS CASE

AND A RESULT IN SEXUAL ASSAULT
AS A ADULT AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND
PRIVACY BREACH, AND DAILY BEATENS BECAUSE
OF CASE CLASS ACTION FAULT OF DEFENDANT'S

THIS RESULT IN RELIEF FOR
THE CAUSAL LINK CONNECTED CLAIM'S
END DEMONSTRATION

65. WHEREFORE APPELLANT MOVES THIS
HONORABLE COURT TO GRANT APPELLANT
A FEDERAL DISTRICT SUBSTANTIAL MATTER TRIAL BY JURY
OR REMAND OPINION AND CONFIRMATION
FOR JUDGMENT ORDERS THAT IS FAIR

(2)

FILED

SEP 19 2022

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DATE 9-9-22

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED]

P.O. BOX 8107

MANFIELD Ohio 44901

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED] DULY SWORN STATES HE HAS READ
THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT AND ITS TRUE UPON
INFORMATION AND BELIEF TO THOSE MATTERS
HEREIN THERE OF MATTERS HE BELIEVE TO BE TRUE

[REDACTED]

PLAINTIFF

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED TO ME IN MY
PRESENCE THIS 9 DAY OF September 2022

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
June 3-2025



[Signature]

NOTARY PUBLIC RICHARD
COUNTY, STATE OF OHIO

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
PLAINTIFF ~~APPELLANT~~ DEMAND A JURY TRIAL

DATE 9-9-22

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED]

P.O. BOX 8107

MANFIELD, Ohio 44901

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED]
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED]
P.O. Box 8107
MANFIELD, OHIO 44901

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I THE UNDERSIGN CERTIFY THAT A COPY
OF THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT WAS
MAILED 9-9-22 UPON DEREK C. ABBOTT
1201 NORTH MARKET ST.
WILMINGTON, DE 19899

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED]
PRO SE

★ SERVICE REPLY BY U.S. MAIL TO:

D. [REDACTED] W. [REDACTED]
P.O. Box 8107
MANFIELD, OHIO 44901

★★

CONTACT OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND
CORRECTION FOR 189A1 MAIL PROCEDURES